| **Student:** Ashley |
| --- |

| **Topic:** This house supports the sympathetic portrayal of villains in popular culture. |
| --- |

**My Teacher’s Observations and Feedback**

| **What was the BEST thing about my speech?** | * Very good start.   + Good job talking about the context of marginalized individuals in society. * Very good job signposting.   + You stated both the arguments before entering into explaining them. Good job! * Good work preampting.   + You mention what the opp would possibly argue on the subject of sympathy. Good job bringing this up in your argument.   Speaking time: 05:01, good work! | |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **What part of my speech NEEDS IMPROVEMENT?** | * It is recommended that you maintain good eye contact throughout the speech. * Make better utilization of hand gestures to make your speech more commanding, influential, clearer. * Argument 1   + This argument must link with how sympathetic portrayal of villains can be good. The argument has provided more emphasis on stereotypes that are built around heroes, however, doesn’t really talk much about villains.   + There is a lack of example in this argument. You can utilize hypothetical examples as well.   + The point about how not everyone can seek help is good. However, can you connect it better how sympathetic portrayal of villains can be useful? * Argument 2   + The point about increased funding is great. However, if this is a different argument than the first, you need to clearly mention that you’re moving to the second argument.   + There isn’t a lot of content within argument 2, with some lack of clarity on what the second argument was. | |

| **Student:** Lucas |
| --- |

| **Topic:** This house supports the sympathetic portrayal of villains in popular culture. |
| --- |

**My Teacher’s Observations and Feedback**

| **What was the BEST thing about my speech?** | Hook   * Great job! Sensational opening.   Signposting   * Very good at the start. Try keeping it consistent by telling the audience what you’re about to tell frequently in the debate.   Great attempts on hand gestures and stage coverage. Brilliant!  Great job maintaining eye contact throughout the speech.  The level of confidence is amazing. Keep it up!  Speaking time: 07:38 | |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **What part of my speech NEEDS IMPROVEMENT?** | * Rebuttals   + The first rebuttal was great. However, it was a little hard to follow. It is a little unclear if you see villains as hard to change or that they will never change or if there are concerns with how we don’t sympathize with their conditions and simply sympathize with the individual. * The second point about what we think about the villains is a little unclear. Try attaching an impact or point about how general expectations of villain * The point about how we don’t think of some people as criminals is interesting. However,   + Doesn’t that add to already existing stereotypes? In your example about how we may not think of a person a robber in a bank, isn’t that a good thing, because otherwise we maybe thinking of a person that looks certain way as a potential robber? * Good job illustrating how people with certain past struggles should not have the right to violate other people’s rights. Can we link it with the severity of the crime?   + Can we think of other examples besides extremes of violence? * Good point about how people get influenced by the media to do bad works. Example: robbery.   + I suggest you link this with how glorifying wrong people leads to bad outcomes. | |

| **Student:** Michelle |
| --- |

| **Topic:** This house supports the sympathetic portrayal of villains in popular culture. |
| --- |

**My Teacher’s Observations and Feedback**

| **What was the BEST thing about my speech?** | * Good job with the opening context.   + The point about the backstory of villains is relevant and important to bring at the start. Good work! * Great signposting. * The interpretation of why people do bad things is very good.   + Great illustration and utilization of examples. * Good eye contact, however, try to keep it consistent throughout the speech. * The overall structure: CREI was well followed several times in the speech. Good work!   Speaking time: 06:32, good work! | |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **What part of my speech NEEDS IMPROVEMENT?** | * The interpretation of why people do bad things is well done. However, can we connect it with:   + How does sympathizing with villains add more awareness about important social issues?   + How does it change our perspective about individuals who are stereotyped or are punished more than they deserve? * The point that ‘they just robbed the bank and that’s not very big’ may misrepresent severity of the crime. You should acknowledge the reason for crime instead of directly suggesting that these crimes aren’t big. * The idea about government providing more funding is something that you should suggest as solutions that WILL HAPPEN instead of what SHOULD happen.   + You must defend the idea that under your side, people will be more aware and institutions will be more supportive. Therefore, you have increased funding/support to fix social problems that otherwise lead people to do bad things. * The point about integrating villains in the community is under-developed.   + Can we link this point with how the integration can happen and how media can be a contributor here? | |